

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

The amendment to independent claims 1, 6, and 11 adds to each of these claims the limitation of cancelled claims 4 and 9, which is deemed by the examiner to contain patentable subject matter. The amendment has been added directly to claims 1 and 6, which thus is a change to the scope from the original claims 4 and 9. However, in view of the examiner's suggestion in paragraph 4 of the Office Action relative to claim 11, it is believed that the examiner will consider claims 1 and 6 to be allowable in the form presented. It is not seen that the intermediate claim 2 relative to claim 4, and intermediate claim 7 relative to claim 9, are required for patentability.

In view of the above, it is believed that the claims are all in allowable form, and exhibit patentable distinction over the prior art raised by the examiner in the rejections.

In view of the above, allowance of this application is respectfully requested.


Respectfully submitted,

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP



Garrettson Ellis
Registration No. 22,792
Attorney for Applicant

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
55 East Monroe Street, Suite 4200
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 269-8567



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on July 25, 2005.

Jason E. Egan
Registered Attorney for Applicant

Date: July 25, 2005